Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/10106030)

# Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry

Photochemistry Photobiology .<br>Ai Chemi



# Photocatalytic oxidation of nitrogen monoxide using  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin films under continuous UV light illumination

# Yoshihisa Ohko∗, Yuri Nakamura, Nobuaki Negishi, Sadao Matsuzawa, Koji Takeuchi

*Research Institute for Environmental Management Technology, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), 16-1, Onogawa, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8569, Japan*

## article info

*Article history:* Received 17 December 2008 Received in revised form 4 March 2009 Accepted 10 April 2009 Available online 18 April 2009

*Keywords:* TiO2 photocatalysis  $N<sub>0</sub>$ HNO<sub>3</sub>  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ Surface diffusion Deactivation

#### **ABSTRACT**

An apparent deactivating behavior of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts in NO (1 ppm) oxidation in air was examined using TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticulate thin films (0.5–1.4  $\mu$ m thick) under continuous UV light illumination (1 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>). The rate of NO oxidation decreased with HNO<sub>3</sub> accumulation on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. At the final steady state, the rate of NO oxidation was one-third of the initial one, and NO<sub>2</sub> was released into air at the equivalent rate. The amount of HNO<sub>3</sub> trapped on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film was increased and finally saturated, at which the largest amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  was proportional to the thickness of the film, and then the maximum density of HNO<sub>3</sub> on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface was estimated to be ~0.5 molecule nm<sup>−2</sup>. The value was much smaller than the previously reported one in the NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation ( $\sim$ 2 molecule nm<sup>-2</sup>). The discrepancy is explained by the consumption of HNO<sub>3</sub> during the photocatalytic reaction, thus HNO<sub>3</sub> reacts with NO and produces NO<sub>2</sub> on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface under UV light illumination. On the basis of the results, we concluded that the maximum surface density of HNO<sub>3</sub> on TiO<sub>2</sub> in the NO oxidation is determined by the balance between the accumulation amount and the consumption amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## **1. Introduction**

Titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) exhibits photocatalytic reactions on the surface under UV light illumination [\[1–4\]. E](#page-5-0)lectron-hole pairs are generated in TiO<sub>2</sub> when UV light photons are absorbed by TiO<sub>2</sub>. The photo-generated holes have strong oxidizing power (∼3.0 V vs SHE) with which most pollutants in air and water can be completely decomposed and mineralized. Removal of nitrogen oxides (NO*x*) has been intensively studied by using  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts, and it is recognized as one of the promising applications of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts  $[5-10]$ . NO<sub>x</sub> in air is oxidized to nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) by TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis, and the produced  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  molecules are trapped on the TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts [\[5\]](#page-5-0) due to the high solubility of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  into water adsorbed on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface. However, the trapped  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ serves as a physical barrier, decreasing the photocatalytic activity of TiO<sub>2</sub>. The long-time stability of TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts is very important to know for the practical use. However, how the reaction rate changes due to the HNO<sub>3</sub> accumulation on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface has not been investigated in a systematic way.

NO is known to be contained a lot in exhaust gas from automobiles and boilers, and causes various environmental problems. Therefore, NO has been used as the reactant in most cases in the study of NO*<sup>x</sup>* removal. However, the reaction pathways of NO*<sup>x</sup>* gas, including via photocatalytic reactions, are very complicated and inscrutable. For example,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is easily produced and released into air on the way to the production of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  [5].  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ is likely to be reduced to NO by photolysis  $(NO<sub>2</sub> + h\nu \rightarrow NO + O)$ [\[11\].](#page-5-0) Besides, NO can react with  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  without TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis  $(NO + NO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O \rightarrow 2HNO<sub>2</sub>)$  [\[11\].](#page-5-0) Nakamura et al. proved that the photocatalytic oxidation of NO proceeds consecutively as  $NO \rightarrow NO_2^- \rightarrow NO_3^-$  on Ti $O_2$  powders by *in situ* Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy [\[6\].](#page-5-0) However, the authors did not observe the concentration changes of NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  during the reaction; thus, the deactivating behavior of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalyst was not shown.

To understand the detailed reaction dynamics on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts in NO oxidation, we approach the issue by the original means to use TiO<sub>2</sub> thin films ( $\sim$ 1 µm) consisting of only nanoparticles of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  (anatase, 20 nm diameter) having the same surface roughness in a typical flow-type reactor for photocatalysis. By using such nanoporous  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin films, all of the HNO<sub>3</sub> trapped on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface can be extracted with water, the amount of the HNO<sub>3</sub> becomes sufficiently larger to detect by ion chromatography (IC), and we can ignore the influence of the surface roughness of these films on the NO*<sup>x</sup>* oxidation rate. Then, by analyzing the film thickness dependency on the initial reaction rate, the deactivation rate, and the accumulated amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ , the following information is obtained: the reaction zone on top of the film, the surface diffusion of the produced HNO<sub>3</sub>, and the maximum density of HNO<sub>3</sub> accumulated on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface, respectively. So far, most researchers have

Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* [y-ohko@aist.go.jp](mailto:y-ohko@aist.go.jp) (Y. Ohko).

<sup>1010-6030/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.jphotochem.2009.04.005](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2009.04.005)

tested to introduce their developed photocatalysts to the outdoor air purification along heavy traffic roads, in which a huge volume of polluted air exists. Since  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysis is a heterogeneous reaction on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface, a large quantity of powdery samples with larger surface areas was preferably used in the experiments [\[5–10\]. H](#page-5-0)owever, such samples make it very difficult to analyze how the reaction dynamics are complex on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface.

We have previously reported on the nitrogen dioxide  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , 1 ppm) oxidation in air by using  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticulate thin films (0.5–1.5 µm thick) coated on glass plates under continuous UV light illumination over 10 h [\[12\]. T](#page-5-0)he deactivating behavior of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ films was indicative that the produced  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ , inhibiting the reaction as a physical barrier, is able to diffuse on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface, and finally distributes homogeneously on the whole film. Then, we could evaluate the reaction zone on top of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub> film$  (less than 500 nm) and the maximum density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface ( $\sim$ 2 molecules nm<sup>-2</sup>). Besides, the photocatalytic activity was found to be remained ∼8% of the initial one at the final steady state. These showed that our original approach is novel and useful for clarifying some important factors in photocatalytic NO*<sup>x</sup>* oxidation.

However, we cannot predict all in the NO oxidation by analogy of the previous results of the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  oxidation. Even though the produced HNO<sub>3</sub> will be accumulated in the TiO<sub>2</sub> films, the reaction zone of the TiO<sub>2</sub> films, the deactivating rate of the TiO<sub>2</sub> films and the surface density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ , etc. are unknown. If we find some difference in the physicochemical factors, it triggers reconsideration of the NO*<sup>x</sup>* reaction mechanism. Thus, we must investigate anew the deactivating behavior of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin films in NO oxidation by monitoring the changes in NO, NO $_2$ , HNO $_2$ /NO $_2^-$  and HNO $_3$ /NO $_3^-$  under continuous UV light illumination. As a result, we have verified that the maximum surface density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface in the NO oxidation becomes much smaller than that in the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  oxidation. This overturns the common belief that  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  is the final stable product in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalytic oxidation of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ . Consequently, we were able to demonstrate that the  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  pre-deposited on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film reacts with NO gas in air and produces NO<sub>2</sub> gas induced by photocatalysis. The information is necessary for comprehending the reaction dynamics on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface in NO oxidation and for designing more advanced photocatalytic systems for NO purification.

In the present study, we have conducted the experiments of NO (1 ppm) oxidation in air using  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticulate thin films (0.5–1.4 $\,\rm \mu m$  thick) in a flow-type reactor under continuous UV light illumination (1 mW cm−2) [\[12\]. W](#page-5-0)e have observed changes in the amount of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub>− and HNO<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>3</sub>− (a NO−NO<sub>x</sub> analyzer for NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, ion chromatography for  $\rm HNO_2/NO_2^$ and  $\rm HNO_3/NO_3^-$ , respectively). From the time dependency and the thickness-dependency on these parameters, the surface density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  at the final steady state was estimated. Comparing with the previous data in the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  oxidation, [\[12\]](#page-5-0) we have speculated the photocatalytic reaction of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  and experimentally demonstrated the reaction for the first time.

#### **2. Experimental**

A TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film was prepared on a Pyrex glass plate (5  $\times$  10 cm<sup>2</sup>) by a conventional spin coating process, using a  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  anatase aqueous sol (Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha Ltd., STS-21, 20 nm particle diameter) [\[12\].](#page-5-0) The second and the third coatings were applied in the same manner over the first coating. The thickness of the TiO $_2$  film increased approximately 0.5  $\mu$ m per one-time coating [\[12\].](#page-5-0) Three types of the TiO<sub>2</sub> film were used in the experiment, whose thickness and weight were (1) 0.5  $\mu$ m and 4.6 mg, (2) 0.9  $\mu$ m and 8.8 mg, and (3)  $1.4 \,\mu$ m and 12.9 mg, respectively. The mean porosity of the TiO<sub>2</sub> films was  $49 \pm 5$ %, and the mean roughness

of the TiO2 film surfaces was almost constant, ∼26.5 nm [\[12\]. T](#page-5-0)he  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ -coated glass plates were immersed in deionized water for more than 24 h under UV light illumination with a black fluorescent lamp (Toshiba Co., FL10BLB-A; wavelength, 300–400 nm; UV intensity, 2 mW cm<sup> $-2$ </sup>) in order to remove residual organic matter and water-soluble inorganic contamination from the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface, as evidenced by ion chromatography (IC; Tosoh, IC-2001).

All of the photocatalytic reactions of NO were carried out at room temperature (298 K). The reaction gas was prepared from a  $NO-N<sub>2</sub>$ gas mixture (Takachiho Chemicals Co.,  $\sim$ 100 ppm,  $\sim$ 20 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) as well as purified dry air (1 L min−1) and purified wet air (1 L min−1, created through a humidifier), attained by using thermal massflow controllers. The relative humidity (RH) of the reaction gas was adjusted to 50% and the NO concentration was kept at 1 ppm. A typical flow-type reactor for photocatalysis was used, in which the layer of the reaction gas over the sample plate was 5 mm thick, and the reaction gas was passed through the reactor at a flow-rate of 2 L min<sup>-1</sup> (laminar flow). The UV light source was a black fluorescent lamp, set over the photoreactor.  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  (NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ) in the gas phase was monitored with a chemiluminescent NO–NO*<sup>x</sup>* analyzer (Monitor Labs Inc., Model 9841A). The reaction products remaining on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  were extracted by immersing the sample into deionized water (10 mL) for 30 min, and measured using IC (column, TSKgel SuperIC-AZ; eluent, 1.9 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 3.2 mM Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>). All of the data were collected using the same  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin films in order to avoid variations in activity caused by the difference of the surface area and thickness.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

## *3.1. Photocatalytic oxidation of NO*

[Fig. 1\(a](#page-2-0)) shows typical experimental data for the concentration changes of NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  (the sum of NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ ) as a function of UV light illumination time in the NO oxidation by using the thinnest TiO<sub>2</sub> film (0.5  $\mu$ m). Before UV light illumination, we adjusted the initial NO concentration to 1 ppm (time period (i)) and investigated the amount of NO adsorbed on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film by passing the reaction gas through the reactor in the dark for 10 min (time period (ii)). However, adsorption of NO on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film was negligible since the NO concentration did not change during the time period (ii). When UV light illumination was started, the NO concentration in the outlet gas went down steeply to ∼0.45 ppm. Then, it was immediately increased to ∼0.8 ppm and remained constant. Corresponding to the concentration change of NO, the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration increased steeply to ∼0.3 ppm and decreased to ∼0.2 ppm, keeping in mind that the time for the positive peak of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration is not exactly the same as the time for the negative peak of NO concentration, usually ∼1 min later. The sequence of reactions that produce  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $HNO<sub>3</sub>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  is considered as follows [\[13–17\]:](#page-5-0)

$$
h\nu \to h^+ + e^- \tag{1}
$$

$$
h^+ + O_s^{2-} \to O_s^{2} \tag{2}
$$

$$
NO + h^+ + H_2O_{ads} \rightarrow HNO_2 + H^+ \tag{3}
$$

NO + Os <sup>−</sup> + H2Oads → HNO2 + V[Os <sup>2</sup>−] <sup>+</sup> OH−ads → HNO2 + Os <sup>2</sup><sup>−</sup> <sup>+</sup> <sup>H</sup><sup>+</sup> (4)

$$
HNO2 + h+ \rightarrow NO2 + H+
$$
 (5)

- $HNO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>s</sub><sup>-</sup> \rightarrow NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>s</sub><sup>2-</sup> + H<sup>+</sup>$  (6)
- $HNO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>s</sub><sup>-</sup> \rightarrow HNO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> + V[O<sub>s</sub>$  $(7.1)$

$$
V[O_s^{2-}] + OH^-_{ads} \rightarrow O_s^{2-} + H^+ \tag{7.2}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



Fig. 1. (a) Concentrations of NO,  $NO_2$ , and  $NO_x$  (=  $NO + NO_2$ ) as a function of UV illumination time in the photocatalytic oxidation of NO (thickness of the TiO<sub>2</sub> film, 0.5  $\mu$ m; UV intensity, 1 mW cm<sup>−2</sup>; initial NO concentration, 1 ppm; time period (i): the reaction gas did not pass through the reactor for measuring the initial concentration of NO; time period (ii): the reaction gas passed through the reactor in the dark for measuring the adsorption of NO on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film; time period (iii): the sample was illuminated with UV light for conducting the photocatalytic oxidation of NO). (b) The amount of HNO<sub>3</sub> recovered from the TiO<sub>2</sub> film ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{HNO}_3(s)}$ ) ( $\bullet$ ) and that of NO<sub>x</sub> removed from air  $(Q_{NO_X(g)})$  ( $\bigcirc$ ) as a function of UV illumination time (each plot was acquired by repeating a separate experiment in which UV illumination stopped at a given time). The broken line fit the plots of  $Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)}$  in an exponential approximation as eye-guide.

$$
HNO_3^- + O_5^- \to HNO_3 + O_5^{2-}
$$
 (7.3)

$$
NO2 + h+ + H2Oads \rightarrow HNO3 + H+
$$
 (8)

$$
NO2 + Os- + H2Oads → HNO3 + V[Os2-] + OH- ads
$$
  
\n→ HNO<sub>3</sub> + O<sub>s</sub><sup>2-</sup> + H<sup>+</sup> (9)

 $NO_2 + O_5^- \rightarrow NO_3^- + V[O_5$  $(10.1)$ 

$$
V[O_s^{2-}] + OH^-_{ads} \rightarrow O_s^{2-} + H^+ \tag{10.2}
$$

where O $\rm _s^2$ – represents terminal (bridging) oxygen ions and V[O $\rm _s^2$ – ] are bridging oxygen vacancies. The photo-excited electrons (e−) will be captured by oxygen molecules  $(O_2)$  in air, and then produced superoxide radicals (O<sub>2</sub> $\text{-}$ ) and hydroperoxyl radicals (HO<sub>2</sub> $\text{-}$ ) also contribute to the NO oxidation, e.g.,  $NO + HO_2 \rightarrow HNO_3$ , etc.

The amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ , produced and trapped on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface, increased with UV light irradiation time, and saturated at  $\sim$ 0.2 μmol after 1 h (Fig. 1(b)). In this experiment, only NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was detected by IC. The time dependency of the concentration of NO is explained as follows:  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  is rapidly produced and accumulated on top of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film surface, and therefore inhibiting the photocatalytic reactions as a physical barrier.

The apparent quantum yield (QY) was estimated using the following equations:

$$
QY = \frac{\text{number of removed NO molecules}}{\text{number of incident photons}}\tag{11}
$$

then, the QY value just after UV light illumination at which NO decreased the most was approximately ∼0.5%. The value was higher than that  $(0.3%)$  in the NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation [\[12\].](#page-5-0)

To consider the nitrogen mass balance during the photocatalytic oxidation of NO, the amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  recovered from the TiO<sub>2</sub> film  $(Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)})$  was compared with the amount of NO<sub>x</sub> (NO and NO<sub>2</sub>) removed from air  $(Q_{NO_X(g)})$ .  $Q_{NO_X(g)}$  was calculated as follows:

$$
Q_{NO_X(g)} = Q_{NO(g)} - Q_{NO_2(g)}
$$
\n
$$
= \left\{ \int ([NO]_{initial} - [NO]_{UV})dt - \int ([NO_2]_{UV} - [NO_2]_{initial})dt \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\times \left(\frac{V}{22.4}\right)
$$
\n(13)

where  $\mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{NO}(\mathrm{g})}$  is the amount of NO removed from air (µmol),  $\mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{NO}_2(\mathrm{g})}$ is the amount of  $\rm NO_2$  released into air ( $\rm \mu mol$ ),  $\rm [NO]_{initial}$  is the concentration of NO in the inlet gas (ppm),  $[NO]_{UV}$  is the concentration of NO in the outlet gas under UV light illumination (ppm),  $[NO<sub>2</sub>]_{initial}$  is the concentration of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in the inlet gas (ppm),  $[NO<sub>2</sub>]_{UV}$  is the concentration of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  in the outlet gas under UV light illumination (ppm), and *V* is the flow rate of the reaction gas (L min−1) under standard conditions (0 ◦C and 1.013 hPa). As shown in Fig. 1(b),  $Q_{NO_X(g)}$  agreed closely with  $Q_{HNO_3(s)}$  during 3 h of UV light illumination.

Even at the final steady state, NO was oxidized at one-third of the initial oxidation rate. Although the NO oxidation rate was not so decreased, the production of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  was completely stopped. The mechanism cannot be explained only by assuming that the  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ serves as an inert physical barrier. The problem will be discussed later (see below).

#### *3.2. Film thickness dependence of the reaction*

[Fig. 2](#page-3-0) (a)–(c) shows the concentration changes of NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and NO*x*, in which the UV illumination time period was 0.5 h and 10 h, and the change in  $Q_{HNO_3(s)}$  as a function of UV light illumination time in the photocatalytic oxidation of NO using the TiO<sub>2</sub> films with different thickness (0.5  $\mu$ m, 0.9  $\mu$ m, and 1.4  $\mu$ m). For comparison, data of the three samples are overlaid. Let us analyze the data in five terms: (A) the initial oxidation rate, (B) the deactivation behavior, (C)  $Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)}$ , (D) the final steady state, and (E) the nitrogen mass balance. Concerning (A), the initial oxidation rate of NO increased slowly with increasing thickness of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  films. This indicates that the reaction zone of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film for the NO oxidation was not limited within 0.5  $\mu$ m thick [\(Fig. 2\(a](#page-3-0))). Concerning (B), for example, the slope of the NO concentration change while upturning to the initial one (1 ppm) became smaller with increasing thickness of TiO<sub>2</sub> films. The order of deactivation behavior of the TiO<sub>2</sub> film coincided to that obtained in the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  oxidation as reported pre-viously [\[12\]. A](#page-5-0)s for (C),  $Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)}$  was increased with increasing the film thickness, and reached the maximum values for each the  $\rm TiO_2$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.** Concentrations of NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  ((a) a short-time view, (b) a long-time view), and (c)  $Q_{HNO_3(s)}$  as a function of UV illumination time in the NO oxidation using the TiO<sub>2</sub> films with different thickness (thickness of the TiO<sub>2</sub> film: ( $\bullet$  ) 0.5  $\mu$ m,



**Fig. 3.** Dependence of the weight of the TiO<sub>2</sub> film on  $Q_{HNO<sub>2</sub>(s)}$ . The black bold broken line indicates the relationship in the case of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  oxidation as reported previously [\[12\].](#page-5-0)

film (Fig.  $2(c)$ ). These results are reasonably explained by applying the previously proposed model [\[12\]](#page-5-0) of diffusion and accumulation of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface; the produced  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  quickly diffuses inside of the TiO<sub>2</sub> film, by which the increase of the surface density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  is reduced, and therefore the apparent oxidation rate of NO is decreased slower for thicker films. Regarding (D), the NO concentration was ~0.8 ppm, the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration ~0.2 ppm, and the  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  removal rate negligible for all the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  films under continuous UV light illumination. Increasing thickness of TiO<sub>2</sub> films slightly increased the oxidation rate of NO at the final steady state. As for (E), the nitrogen mass balance between  $Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)}$  and  $Q_{NO<sub>x</sub>(g)}$  was always kept as shown in Fig. 2(c). This is different from the case of NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation, [\[12\]](#page-5-0) in which  $Q_{NO_X(g)}$  became larger than  $Q_{HNO_3(s)}$ with UV light illumination time.

In Fig. 3, the values of  $Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)}$  are plotted as a function of TiO<sub>2</sub> film mass.  $Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)}$  had a good proportionality to the weight of TiO<sub>2</sub> films. This indicates that the produced  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  finally accumulated homogeneously in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  films. The slope of linear regression is  $0.04 \pm 0.002$   $\mu$ mol of  $Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)}$  per 1 mg of TiO<sub>2</sub>. The value of specific surface area of the TiO<sub>2</sub> is 50 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> [\[18\]. T](#page-5-0)hus, the maximum density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface is calculated to be  $\sim$ 0.5 molecules nm<sup>−2</sup>. The occupied area per one HNO<sub>3</sub> molecule was ca.  $2 \text{ nm}^2$ . The surface density of HNO<sub>3</sub> was found to be only one-fourth of the previous reported one in the  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  oxidation  $(\sim 2$  molecules nm<sup>-2</sup>) [\[12\].](#page-5-0)

Because  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  was the common final product, and because all the experimental conditions were the same except the starting reactant, the maximum surface density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  must be both consistent. However, the difference was significant. This is not explained without considering some unknown reactions on  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ (see below).

#### *3.3. Photocatalytic reaction of HNO3 with NO*

In order to clarify what happens to  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film under continuous UV light illumination, a preliminary experiment was conducted [\(Fig. 4\):](#page-4-0) a certain amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  was pre-deposited

<sup>(</sup> $\triangle$ ) 0.9 μm, (■) 1.4 μm; UV intensity, 1 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>; initial NO concentration, 1 ppm). As for (c), each plot was acquired in the same manner as [Fig. 1\(b](#page-2-0)).  $Q_{NOx(g)}$  was also plotted in (c) with the corresponding open symbols to  $Q_{HNO<sub>3</sub>(s)}$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 4. Concentration changes of NO,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  when using the TiO<sub>2</sub> film on which  $\sim$ 0.6 µmol of HNO $_3$  was pre-deposited.

on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film, and then the sample was exposed to the NO gas (1 ppm in air) as well as illuminated with UV light (1 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>). 0.1 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (10 mM) was placed on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film (0.5  $\mu$ m thick), and dried it in air in the dark (RH, 50%; 25 °C) for 24 h. Then,  $\sim$ 0.6 µmol of HNO<sub>3</sub> remained on the sample. Next, the sample was set in the photoreactor, and the NO gas was let in as usual. Then, the concentrations of both NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  were not changed in the dark (time period (ii)). This indicates that  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> does not apparently react with dilute NO gas in the dark, though Mochida and Finlayson-Pitts reported the reaction of HNO<sub>3</sub> and gaseous NO  $(10^3-10^4 \text{ ppm})$  [\[19\]. H](#page-5-0)owever, just after the sample was illuminated with UV light, the concentration of NO*<sup>x</sup>* was abruptly increased to ∼1.2 ppm, and afterwards gradually decreased to the initial 1 ppm. Just on the UV light illumination, the concentration of NO decreased to ∼0.65 ppm, while the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> was increased to ~0.5 ppm. These values were both higher than the case using the pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film, and the amount of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  released into air was larger than that of NO removed from air during the reaction. Thus, the increase of NO*<sup>x</sup>* concentration over 1 ppm was clearly caused by the pre-deposited HNO<sub>3</sub> on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film, because the amount of NO<sub>x</sub> in the outlet gas exceeded that in the inlet gas. Instead of the TiO<sub>2</sub>, we used a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticulate film. Then, there were no concentration changes, for both NO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , in the dark as well as under UV light illumination. The  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  film was prepared from a commercial colloidal  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  solution (Nippon Chemical Industrial Co. Ltd.; particle diameter, 20 nm; film weight, 4 mg) and  $\sim$ 0.5 µmol of HNO3 was pre-deposited in the same manner as for the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film. When using the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film without the NO gas, the concentrations of both NO and NO2 were scarcely changed under UV light illumination.We therefore concluded that  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  reacts with NO owing to the action of TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis. Then,  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  must be oxidized to  $NO<sub>3</sub>$ , followed by producing  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . The possible reactions are considered as follows:

$$
HNO3 + h+ \rightarrow NO3 + H+
$$
 (14)

 $HNO<sub>3</sub> + O<sub>s</sub><sup>-</sup> \rightarrow NO<sub>3</sub> + OH<sup>-</sup>$ <sub>ads</sub> (15)

$$
NO_3^- + h^+ \rightarrow NO_3 \tag{16}
$$

$$
NO_3^- + O_5^- \to NO_3 + O_3^{2-}
$$
 (17)

$$
NO_3 + NO \rightarrow 2NO_2 \tag{18}
$$

After 3 h of UV light illumination, only ∼0.2 µmol of HNO<sub>3</sub> was recovered from the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface; thus  $\sim$ 0.4 µmol of pre-deposited  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  was lost from the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. In other words, some of the  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface was certainly consumed by TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalytic reaction. The remaining amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface was equivalent to the maximum accumulated amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  when using the pure TiO<sub>2</sub> film. On the other hand, the amount of NO<sub>x</sub> over the initial 1 ppm was calculated to be 0.3  $\mu$ mol. Thus, the lost HNO<sub>3</sub> was found in the outlet gas as the overproduced  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . All of these support the proposed reactions (Eqs. (14–18)).

The formation of  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  from the reaction between  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  and free hydroxyl radical (\*OH) as  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  + \*OH  $\rightarrow$  NO<sub>3</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O has been intensively studied in the atmospheric chemistry [\[20\]. T](#page-5-0)he photocatalytic production of •OH radicals [\[21\]](#page-5-0) and their participation in the subsequent reactions have been invoked to explain the photocatalytic oxidation of organic compounds. However, recently, Ishibashi et al. estimated the quantum yield of  $\cdot$ OH production during TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis to be  $7 \times 10^{-5}$  in aqueous solution, which is much lower than the quantum yield of ordinary photocatalytic reactions  $(\sim 10^{-2})$ [\[22\]. I](#page-5-0)n addition, Salvador demonstrated that free •OH radicals cannot be generated via the photooxidation of water molecules or solvated hydroxyl groups with valence band holes of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  both thermodynamically and kinetically, but may only be generated via the electroreduction of dissolved oxygen with photogenerated conduction band electrons [\[13\]. T](#page-5-0)hus, •OH radicals are hardly likely to make a major contribution to the result in Fig. 4.

Here, let us consider the problem that has remained unsolved, why the production of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  was apparently stopped at the final steady state. Certainly, the accumulated  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  molecules did not a little contribute to the decrease of NO oxidation rate as a physical barrier. However, it is much more adequate to consider that the potential of the TiO<sub>2</sub> activity is not reduced at all, but it enables nitrogen oxides, including  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ , to be further oxidized even at the final steady state. Therefore, the maximum surface density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ in the NO atmosphere will be determined by the balance between the accumulation amount and the consumption amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. It could be dependent on several factors, for example, NO concentration,  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  amount, UV light intensity, photocatalytic activity of TiO<sub>2</sub>, the film conditions, such as, surface morphologies, grain sizes, film densities, and so on. The detailed kinetic study will be performed in the near future.

# **4. Conclusions**

Photocatalytic oxidation of NO was kinetically studied using TiO2 nanoparticulate thin films, and we found the following for the first time: (1) The reaction zone on top of the TiO<sub>2</sub> film exists over 500 nm thickness; (2) the rate of NO oxidation soon decreased to one-third of the initial one; (3) at the final steady state, the produced  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  was released into air at the equivalent rate of NO oxidation; (4) the amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film was increased and finally saturated, at which the largest amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  was proportional to the thickness of the film, and then the maximum density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface was determined to be ~0.5 molecule nm<sup>−2</sup>; (5) the nitrogen mass was balanced all the time between the removed  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  gas and the produced HNO<sub>3</sub>; (6) the HNO<sub>3</sub> pre-deposited on the TiO<sub>2</sub> film reacted with NO and produced  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  under UV light illumination. From our novel approach and original perspective, the fate of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> in the photocatalytic NO oxidation was revealed for the first time, and it is concluded that the maximum surface density of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  in the NO oxidation is determined by the balance between the accumulation amount and the consumption <span id="page-5-0"></span>amount of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface. These findings will be very useful for developing photocatalytic materials for NO*<sup>x</sup>* removal. Since the emission of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  is unfavorable due to its high toxicity and the reaction pathways of nitrogen oxides, including  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ , are so complicated that we should apply  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  photocatalysts to  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ removal with more sufficient care. It is important to remove the produced  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  from the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface before the problematic reaction becomes serious.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We express gratitude to Dr. Y. Krockenberger for careful reading the manuscript. This work was supported by Industrial Technology Research Grant Program in 2006 from New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO) of Japan. We are grateful to Ishihara Sangyo Kaisha Ltd. for supplying the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  sol.

#### **References**

- [1] A. Fujishima, K. Honda, Nature 238 (1972) 37.
- [2] M. Formenti, H. Courbon, F. Juillet, A. Lissatchenko, J.R. Martin, P. Meriaudeau, S.J. Teichner, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 9 (1972) 947.
- [3] A.G. Agrios, P. Pichat, J. Appl. Electrochem. 35 (2005) 655.
- [4] D.T. Tompkins, B.J. Lawnicki, W.A. Zeltner, M.A. Anderson, ASHRAE Trans. 111 (Pt 2) (2005) 60.
- [5] T. Ibusuki, K. Takeuchi, J. Mol. Catal. 88 (1994) 93.
- [6] I. Nakamura, S. Sugihara, K. Takeuchi, Chem. Lett. (2000) 1276.
- [7] H. Ichiura, T. Kitaoka, H. Tanaka, Chemosphere 51 (2003) 855.
- [8] Y.M. Lin, Y.H. Tseng, J.H. Huang, C.C. Chao, C.C. Chen, I. Wang, Environ. Sci. Technol. 40 (2006) 1616.
- [9] T. Maggos, J.G. Bartzis, P. Leva, D. Kotzias, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Proc. 89 (2007) 81.
- [10] Y. Ishibai, J. Sato, S. Akita, T. Nishikawa, S. Miyagishi, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 188 (2007) 106.
- [11] B.J. Finlayson-Pitts, J. James, N. Pitts, Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere: Theory, Experiments, and Applications, Academic Press, 1999.
- [12] Y. Ohko, Y. Nakamura, A. Fukuda, S. Matsuzawa, K. Takeuchi, J. Phys. Chem. C 112 (2008) 10502.
- [13] P. Salvador, J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 17038.
- [14] D. Monllor-Satoca, R. Gomez, M. Gonzalez-Hidalgo, P. Salvador, Catal. Today 129 (2007) 247.
- [15] R. Enriquez, A.G. Agrios, P. Pichat, Catal. Today 120 (2007) 196.
- [16] J.M. Coronado, J. Soria, Catal. Today 123 (2007) 37.
- [17] O.I. Micic, Y.N. Zhang, K.R. Cromack, A.D. Trifunac, M.C. Thurnauer, J. Phys. Chem. 97 (1993) 7277.
- [18] http://www.iskweb.co.jp/functional/ISKWEB1-3-photocattop.htm.
- [19] M. Mochida, B.J. Finlayson-Pitts, J. Phys. Chem. A 104 (2000) 9705.
- [20] R.P. Wayne, I. Barnes, P. Biggs, J.P. Burrows, C.E. Canosamas, J. Hjorth, G. Lebras, G.K. Moortgat, D. Perner, G. Poulet, G. Restelli, H. Sidebottom, Atmos. Environ.  $25A(1991)$ <sup>1</sup>.
- [21] C.D. Jaeger, A.J. Bard, J. Phys. Chem. 83 (1979) 3146.
- [22] K. Ishibashi, A. Fujishima, T. Watanabe, K. Hashimoto, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 134 (2000) 139.